Snowy winters a historical study of Toronto and area

Bill Gough Ken Butler

February 26, 2014

Introduction

- Data were collected by Bill Gough from 1850-2010.
- Each year, snow-to-rain ratio calculated for December and January; results averaged by decade.
- In addition, each winter was categorized as "snowy", "rainy" or "mixed"; these also summarized by decade.

Data

> snow=read.table("snow.txt",header=T)

> snow

| | decade | dec.snow.rain | jan.snow.rain | rainy | snowy | mixed |
|----|--------|---------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 1860 | 1.2461911 | 2.086197 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 2 | 1870 | 0.6991449 | 2.466387 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 1880 | 1.6049055 | 1.681356 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 1890 | 0.6695511 | 1.802118 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 1900 | 0.5472127 | 1.246255 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| 6 | 1910 | 0.7520022 | 2.007601 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 7 | 1920 | 1.7041667 | 1.553692 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 1930 | 0.7580128 | 1.296309 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 9 | 1940 | 0.7796400 | 0.638236 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 10 | 1950 | 0.7485876 | 1.551166 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 11 | 1960 | 0.6919866 | 1.434611 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 12 | 1970 | 0.7176566 | 1.452458 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 13 | 1980 | 1.0029203 | 1.352194 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 14 | 1990 | 0.6535492 | 2.094637 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 15 | 2000 | 0.5535900 | 1.286375 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 16 | 2010 | 0.7177549 | 1,138889 | 3 | 1 | 6 |

$Section \ 2$

Snow-rain ratios

Plot of evolution over time



> attach(snow)

> plot(decade,dec.snow.rain,ylim=c(0,2.5),

- > lines(lowess(decade,dec.snow.rain))
- > points(decade,jan.snow.rain,col="red")
- > lines(lowess(decade,jan.snow.rain),col="red")
- > legend("bottomright",legend=c("December","January"), + fill=c("black","red"))

Lowess curve is smooth curve through trend (not affected by outliers).

Testing for trend

- January ratios almost always higher than December ones.
- January trend appears decreasing.
- December trend appears steady.
- Statistically significant? Mann-Kendall test for trend, based on Kendall correlation with time (not affected much by vertical outliers).
 - > library(Kendall)
 - > MannKendall(dec.snow.rain)

tau = -0.217, 2-sided pvalue =0.26035

> MannKendall(jan.snow.rain)

tau = -0.417, 2-sided pvalue =0.027377

• The January trend significant, but December one clearly not.

Rainy, snowy and mixed winters

- Each winter classified as being rainy, snowy or mixed, and the results summarized by decade.
- The number of years of each type in each decade must sum to 10
- but at first act as if independent.

Plot of evolution over time



decade

- Definite downward trend in number of snowy winters per decade
- Trends of other types of winter less clear.

- > plot(decade,rainy,ylim=c(0,10),
- + ylab="winter type frequency")
- > lines(lowess(decade,rainy))
- > points(decade,snowy,col="red")
- > lines(lowess(decade,snowy),col="red")
- > points(decade,mixed,col="blue")
- > lines(lowess(decade,mixed),col="blue")
- > legend("topleft",legend=c("rainy","snowy","mixed"),
- + fill=c("black","red","blue"))

Mann-Kendall again

- Significance of trends confirmed by Mann-Kendall tests for each:
 - > MannKendall(rainy)

tau = 0.354, 2-sided pvalue =0.090938

> MannKendall(snowy)

tau = -0.402, 2-sided pvalue =0.045753

> MannKendall(mixed)

tau = 0.111, 2-sided pvalue =0.60673

- "Snowy" trend significantly downward (just, at 0.05 level of significance). "Rainy" trend significant at 0.10 level, but "mixed" trend not significant at all.
- Strictly speaking, should adjust for the fact that we've just done 3 tests.

Triangle plot of winter types

What is a triangle plot?

- Used to display three variables that add up to a fixed total.
- In our case, rainy, snowy and mixed must add up to 10.
- A point in the triangle has three coordinates that add up to 1 (10, rescaled).
- Function triangle.plot in the package ade4 draws these, except that first we need a little organization:
 - > winter.type=data.frame(rainy,snowy,mixed)
 - > decade.char=as.character(decade)
 - > library(ade4)
 - > triangle.plot(winter.type,label=decade.char,clabel=1, + scale=F,min3=c(0,0,0),max3=c(1,1,1))

The triangle plot



Comments

- Not all decades shown because they overprint earlier decades. For example, 1880 and 1890 have identical profiles, and so do 1960 and 1970.
- As an example of how the plot works, 2000 had 9 mixed years, 1 rainy year and no snowy years, so it is plotted at 0.9 on the mixed scale, 0.1 on the rainy scale and 0.0 on the snowy scale. (The coordinates are a bit tricky to read off, but it can be done.)
- Likewise, 1920 is plotted at 0.2 on rainy, 0.5 on snowy and 0.3 on mixed.
- Wikipedia calls this a "ternary plot": see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ternary_plot.
- Trend over time would show up as eg. the early years occupying one part of the triangle and the late years another part. (I don't know a test for this.)
- Also, it would be nice to connect adjacent decades by lines, but I don't know how to make this happen on this triangle plot.

- Add random "jitter" to each observation, to make the hidden ones show up:
 - > rainy.j=jitter(rainy,amount=0.5)
 - > snowy.j=jitter(snowy,amount=0.5)
 - > mixed.j=jitter(mixed,amount=0.5)
 - > winter.j=data.frame(rainy.j,snowy.j,mixed.j)
 - > triangle.plot(winter.j,label=decade.char,clabel=1, + scale=F,min3=c(0,0,0),max3=c(1,1,1))

Improved triangle plot



Data by individual year

By year: organizing

> head(winter)

| | year | snow | rain | winter.type |
|---|------|-------|-------|-------------|
| 1 | 1873 | 223.1 | 38.2 | snowy |
| 2 | 1871 | 210.2 | 84.6 | mixed |
| 3 | 1867 | 180.5 | 105.3 | mixed |
| 4 | 1945 | 168.8 | 37.4 | snowy |
| 5 | 1860 | 165.5 | 79.5 | snowy |
| 6 | 1869 | 165.4 | 27.5 | snowy |

- > o=order(winter\$year)
- > winter2=winter[o,]
- > head(winter2)

| | year | snow | rain | winter.type |
|----|------|-------|-------|-------------|
| 96 | 1849 | 94.2 | 106.2 | rainy |
| 92 | 1850 | 97.4 | 85.4 | mixed |
| 87 | 1851 | 101.0 | 103.0 | mixed |
| 14 | 1852 | 154.3 | 44.5 | mixed |
| 85 | 1853 | 102.3 | 135.5 | mixed |
| 51 | 1854 | 121.4 | 86.0 | mixed |

- Cumulative occurrences of each winter type category against time. Weiss, http://www2.hsu-hh.de/mathstat/ downloads/Folien_09_05.pdf.
- Separate plot on the same graph for each winter type.
- First, define logical variable: true (value 1) if winter of appropriate type, false (value 0) otherwise.

Is it a rainy winter?

For rainy winters:

- > attach(winter2)
- > is.rainy=(winter.type=="rainy")
- > head(data.frame(year,winter.type,is.rainy), n=12)

| | year | winter.type | is.rainy |
|----|------|-------------|----------|
| 1 | 1849 | rainy | TRUE |
| 2 | 1850 | mixed | FALSE |
| 3 | 1851 | mixed | FALSE |
| 4 | 1852 | mixed | FALSE |
| 5 | 1853 | mixed | FALSE |
| 6 | 1854 | mixed | FALSE |
| 7 | 1855 | snowy | FALSE |
| 8 | 1856 | snowy | FALSE |
| 9 | 1857 | mixed | FALSE |
| 10 | 1858 | rainy | TRUE |
| 11 | 1859 | mixed | FALSE |
| 12 | 1860 | snowy | FALSE |

Rainy winters so far

- To count the number of rainy winters so far, we use cumsum to cumulate the values in is.rainy, noting that TRUE has a numerical value of 1 and FALSE a value of 0:
- > rainy.sofar=cumsum(is.rainy)
- > head(data.frame(year,winter.type,is.rainy,rainy.sofar),n=12)

year winter.type is.rainy rainy.sofar

| 1 | 1849 | rainy | TRUE | 1 |
|----|------|-------|-------|---|
| 2 | 1850 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 3 | 1851 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 4 | 1852 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 5 | 1853 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 6 | 1854 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 7 | 1855 | snowy | FALSE | 1 |
| 8 | 1856 | snowy | FALSE | 1 |
| 9 | 1857 | mixed | FALSE | 1 |
| 10 | 1858 | rainy | TRUE | 2 |
| 11 | 1859 | mixed | FALSE | 2 |
| 12 | 1860 | snowy | FALSE | 2 |
| | | | | |

- > is.mixed=winter.type=="mixed"
- > mixed.sofar=cumsum(is.mixed)
- > is.snowy=winter.type=="snowy"
- > snowy.sofar=cumsum(is.snowy)

Checking our work

> head(data.frame(year,winter.type,mixed.sofar,snowy.sofar, + rainy.sofar),n=12)

| | year | winter.type | mixed.sofar | <pre>snowy.sofar</pre> | rainy.sofar |
|----|------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1849 | rainy | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 1850 | mixed | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1851 | mixed | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 1852 | mixed | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 1853 | mixed | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 1854 | mixed | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 1855 | snowy | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1856 | snowy | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | 1857 | mixed | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 | 1858 | rainy | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 1859 | mixed | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| 12 | 1860 | snowy | 7 | 3 | 2 |

Our rate evolution graph



year

If proportion of winters of each type constant over time, traces should be straight. "Snowy" seems to have stopped going up. > plot(mixed.sofar~year,type="n", + ylab="cumulative occurrences") > points(rainy.sofar~year,col="red") > points(mixed.sofar~year,col="black") > points(snowy.sofar~year,col="blue") > legend("topleft",c("rainy","mixed","snowy"), + col=c("red","black","blue"),lty="solid")

To guide eye, add suitably coloured line joining first and last points on trace.

Rate evolution graph with lines



year

> year1=min(year)-1 #1848, no winters of each type > year2=max(year) > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(rainy.sofar)),col="red", + lty="dashed") > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(mixed.sofar)),col="black", + lty="dashed") > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(snowy.sofar)),col="blue", + lty="dashed")

Comments

- If constant proportion of winters of each type over time, red, black and blue traces should each follow their line.
- Mixed (black) trace mostly does.
- Snowy (blue) trace mostly above its line: more snowy winters in past.
- Rainy (red) trace mostly below its line: fewer rainy winters in past.
- Formal test not known (by me), but devise one:
 - Measure observed dissimilarity between traces and lines (eg. area between them)
 - Simulate winters under null hypothesis that proportions not changing
 - Calculate dissimilarities for simulated winters
 - If observed dissimilarities unusually large compared to simulated, reject null and declare that proportions *not* constant.

Snow-rain ratios

Plotting against time

Calculate and attempt to plot against time:

- > srr=snow/rain
- > winter2\$srr=srr
- > plot(srr~year,type="b")
- > lines(lowess(srr~year))



Fixing it up

Some ratios extremely large, so truncate vertical scale:

- > plot(srr~year,type="b",ylim=c(0,3))
- > lines(lowess(srr~year))





Testing for trend

- Small downward trend, apparently consistent amid noise.
- Haul out Mann-Kendall again:
 - > library(Kendall)

```
> res.1=MannKendall(srr)
```

```
> res.1
```

```
tau = -0.146, 2-sided pvalue =0.0054324
```

 Make (approximate) CI for Mann-Kendall correlation (up and down twice SE):

```
> mkci=function(res)
```

```
+ {
```

```
+ sds=sqrt(res$varS)
```

+ ci=c(res\$S-2*sds,res\$S+2*sds)/res\$D

```
+ ci
```

```
+ }
```

• For this example:

```
> mkci(res.1)
```

```
[1] -0.25079964 -0.04099637
```

Theil-Sen

- Downward trend real. How big? Theil-Sen slope:
 - > library(zyp)
 - > zyp.sen(srr~year,data=winter2)\$coefficients

Intercept year

- 7.520284410 -0.003247676
- Decrease about 0.003/year, about 0.52 over the 160 years. In line with lowess.
- Mann-Kendall and Theil-Sen results should be ok: have some serious outliers, but Mann-Kendall, Theil-Sen are resistant to them, and linear trend looks reasonable.

Vineland Station

Why?

- Somewhere rural, not too far from Toronto
- On Niagara escarpment.
- Compare trends with Toronto: are Toronto's trends global warming, or is Toronto an urban heat island?
- Data 1930–2007.
 - > vineland=read.csv("Vineland Control data.csv",header=T)
 - > head(vineland)

| | Year | Dec.s.r | Jan.s.r | Туре | Total.snow | Total.rain |
|---|------|-------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|
| 1 | 1930 | 2.214285714 | 0.31786217 | mixed | 83.8 | 128.0 |
| 2 | 1931 | 1.485915493 | 3.50561798 | snowy | 61.2 | 43.4 |
| 3 | 1932 | 0.001766784 | 0.03573931 | rainy | 17.4 | 228.8 |
| 4 | 1933 | 0.163716814 | 0.06983240 | rainy | 20.8 | 120.1 |
| 5 | 1934 | 0.587500000 | 0.23293173 | rainy | 86.6 | 89.0 |
| 6 | 1935 | 1.281250000 | 0.30825688 | mixed | 76.0 | 102.3 |

Vineland Station winter type

Compute cumulative winters of each type

- > attach(vineland)
- > is.rainy=(Type=="rainy")
- > rainy.sofar=cumsum(is.rainy)
- > is.mixed=Type=="mixed"
- > mixed.sofar=cumsum(is.mixed)
- > is.snowy=Type=="snowy"
- > snowy.sofar=cumsum(is.snowy)

Checking

> head(data.frame(Year,Type,rainy.sofar,mixed.sofar, + snowy.sofar),n=12)

| | Year | Туре | rainy.sofar | mixed.sofar | <pre>snowy.sofar</pre> |
|----|------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 1930 | mixed | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1931 | snowy | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1932 | rainy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1933 | rainy | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1934 | rainy | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1935 | mixed | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 1936 | snowy | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 8 | 1937 | rainy | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | 1938 | snowy | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 10 | 1939 | snowy | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 11 | 1940 | mixed | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 12 | 1941 | rainy | 5 | 3 | 4 |

Rate evolution graph



Year

- In the past, fewer mixed years, more snowy years
- Trend to more mixed, fewer snowy (no snowy since 1977!)
- Similar picture to Toronto.

- > plot(mixed.sofar~Year,type="n",ylab="cumulative occurrence")
- > lines(rainy.sofar~Year,col="red")
- > lines(mixed.sofar~Year,col="black")
- > lines(snowy.sofar~Year,col="blue")
- > legend("topleft",c("rainy","mixed","snowy"),col=c("red","
- > year1=min(Year)-1
- > year2=max(Year)
- > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(rainy.sofar)),col="red",lty=
- > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(mixed.sofar)),col="black",l
- > lines(c(year1,year2),c(0,max(snowy.sofar)),col="blue",lty

Vineland Station: snow-rain ratio

- I don't think I have Toronto snow-rain ratios for January and February separately, so calculated for whole winter each year:
 - > srr=Total.snow/Total.rain
 - > vineland\$srr=srr
 - > plot(srr~Year,type="b",ylab="Snow-rain ratio")
 - > lines(lowess(srr~Year))

Snow-rain ratio plot



Year

- Appears to be no trend:
 - > res.2=MannKendall(srr)

> res.2

tau = -0.00899, 2-sided pvalue =0.91068

> mkci(res.2)

[1] -0.1633543 0.1453723

No evidence whatever.

Comparing Toronto over same years

Extracting the data

- winter2 had Toronto data sorted by years. Select wanted years:
 - > attach(winter2)
 - > toronto=winter2[year>=1930 & year<=2007,]</pre>
 - > detach(winter2)
 - > head(toronto,n=5)

| | year | snow | rain | winter.type | srr |
|-----|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| 57 | 1930 | 118.8 | 82.4 | mixed | 1.4417476 |
| 90 | 1931 | 97.8 | 27.1 | snowy | 3.6088561 |
| 106 | 1932 | 88.9 | 231.8 | rainy | 0.3835203 |
| 165 | 1933 | 28.3 | 113.1 | rainy | 0.2502210 |
| 121 | 1934 | 82.9 | 53.9 | mixed | 1.5380334 |
| | | | - • | | |

> tail(toronto,n=5)

| | year | snow | rain | winter.type | srr |
|-----|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| 118 | 2003 | 84.6 | 29.5 | mixed | 2.8677966 |
| 52 | 2004 | 120.7 | 101.7 | mixed | 1.1868240 |
| 123 | 2005 | 82.0 | 147.9 | rainy | 0.5544287 |
| 129 | 2006 | 77.4 | 94.9 | mixed | 0.8155954 |
| 110 | 2007 | 87.9 | 122.3 | rainy | 0.7187244 |

- > attach(toronto)
- > is.rainy=(winter.type=="rainy")
- > rainy.sofar=cumsum(is.rainy)
- > is.mixed=winter.type=="mixed"
- > mixed.sofar=cumsum(is.mixed)
- > is.snowy=winter.type=="snowy"
- > snowy.sofar=cumsum(is.snowy)

Rate evolution graph



year

- Toronto rate evolution graph looks a lot like Vineland Station's, over same time period:
 - a sharp decrease in snowy winters
 - an increase in mixed winters.



year

54 / 55

Comments

- No longer appears to be much of a trend:
 - > res.3=MannKendall(srr)

> res.3

tau = -0.0523, 2-sided pvalue =0.50091

```
> mkci(res.3)
```

[1] -0.2066443 0.1020822

- This confuses me, since the time plot of the full data showed a steady downward trend, with the sharpest decline between about 1940 and 1950. May be because of using less data here.
- Toronto and Vineland Station appear to be showing similar trends since 1930. Both are showing a decrease in the proportion of snowy winters, and an increase in the proportion of mixed winters. Neither location appears to be showing any trend in snow-rain ratios in that period.