# CSCB63 – Design and Analysis of Data Structures

Anya Tafliovich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>based on notes by Anna Bretscher and Albert Lai

#### disjoint sets

Operations:

- make-set(x): create a set that contains x
- find-set(x): return the set that contains x
- union( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ): merge sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , or
- union(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>): merge set that contains x<sub>1</sub> and set that contains x<sub>2</sub>

Where have we seen this? Kruskal's algorithm

### linked lists implementation

- each set is a linked list
- x.set is a pointer to x's owning linked list
- find-set(x) is: follow pointer,  $\Theta(1)$  time
- union( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ) is merging two linked lists
- choose to always move the smaller list into the larger one

What is the **amortised** complexity of union?

# linked lists implementation: complexity

What is the **amortised** complexity of union?

Consider a sequence of k operations make-set, find-set, and union, with n operations make-set.

- the longest a list can be is: *n* elements
- operations make-set and find-set are:  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  for a total of  $\mathcal{O}(k)$
- operation union is:
  - in the best case: smaller list has one node: 1 update
  - in the worst case: smaller list has (almost) as many nodes as larger list
  - in the worst case: the size of list roughly doubles as a result
- then how many such updates can we do?
  - each x.set field is updated at most: log n times
  - there are n .set fields
  - total number of updates at most: n log n

## linked lists implementation: complexity

What is the **amortised** complexity of union?

Consider a sequence of k operations make-set, find-set, and union, with n operations make-set.

Total time at most:

$$k + n \log n \le k + k \log n \in \mathcal{O}(k \log n)$$

Amortised time:  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ 

#### forest implementation

- each set is a tree
- pointers from children to parents
- root points to itself
- each node stores rank
  - an upper bound on the height of the tree rooted at that node



### forest implementation: make-set

make-set creates a single-node tree

```
make-set(x):
0. root := new node(value=x, rank=0)
1. root.parent := root
2. return root
```

```
union(node1, node2):
    link(find-set(node1), find-set(node2))
```

```
link(root1, root2):
0. if root1.rank > root2.rank:
1. root2.parent := root1
2. else:
3. root1.parent := root2
4. if root1.rank = root2.rank:
5. root2.rank++
```







• union makes root of shorter tree a child of root of taller tree



Called union by rank

find-set follows links to root

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. return find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node



find-set follows links to root

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. return find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node



find-set follows links to root

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. return find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node



find-set follows links to root

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. return find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node



find-set follows links to root

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. return find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



- better: path compression
- find-set updates parent link directly to root
- ranks are not updated

- 0. if node.parent != node:
- 1. node.parent := find-set(node.parent)
- 2. return node.parent



### forest implementation: complexity

- The best disjoint set implementation is forests using union-by-rank and path compression.
- What is the worst-case sequence complexity?
- Can show worst-case time for a sequence of k operations with n make-sets, is  $\mathcal{O}(k\alpha(n)) \in \mathcal{O}(k \log^* n)$
- Here log\* *n* is the number of times that you need to apply log to *n* until the answer is < 1
  - for example, if n = 40, then  $1 < \log \log 40 < 2$  but  $0 < \log \log \log 40 < 1$ , so  $\log^* 40 = 3$
- The function  $\alpha$  grows very, very slowly, virtually a constant.
- Amortised time of disjoint set operations is O(α(n)).
- Full proof outside the scope of this course.
- Note this means the best implementation of Kruskal's algorithm has complexity O(|E| log |E| + |E|α(|V|))) time.