

Formality of the E_n -operad

Lucy Yang

February 27, 2020

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	THE FULTON-MACPHERSON OPERAD	2
3	THE CDGA OF ADMISSIBLE DIAGRAMS	5
3.1	ADMISSIBLE DIAGRAMS	6
3.2	COOPERAD STRUCTURE	7
4	EQUIVALENCE OF THE COOPERADS $\mathcal{D}(-)$ AND $H^*(C[-])$	8
5	THE KONTSEVICH CONFIGURATION SPACE INTEGRALS	8
5.1	I IS A MAP OF CDGAS	9
6	PROOF OF FORMALITY	11
7	INTRINSIC FORMALITY	11

1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout, k will denote a field of characteristic 0 (usually \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}).

Definition. A nilpotent, or k -good in the sense of Bousfield-Kan space X is *formal* (resp. *stably formal*) over k if $H^*(X; k) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{PL}(X; k)$ (resp. $H_*(X; k) \simeq C_*(X; k)f$) are quasi-isomorphic as *cdgas* (resp. as chain complexes).

An operad $\{\mathcal{O}(n)\}$ in **Spc** is *formal* (over k) if $\mathcal{A}_{PL}(\mathcal{O}(n)) \otimes k$ and $H^*(\mathcal{O}(n); k)$ are quasi-isomorphic as cooperads of cdgas.

This talk will sketch a proof of the

Theorem (Kontsevich, Lambrechts-Volić). *For $n \geq 3$, the little disks operad E_n is formal over \mathbb{R} .*

OUTLINE

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Omega_{PA} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 \text{SemiAlgSets} & \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Spc} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{PL}} \mathbf{cDGA}^{\text{op}} \\
 & & \\
 & E_n & \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{PL}(E_n; \mathbb{Q}) \\
 & \wr & \wr \\
 C[-] & \xlongequal{\quad} C[-] & \longrightarrow \Omega_{PA}(C[-]; \mathbb{Q}) \\
 & & \wr \\
 & & \mathcal{D}(-) \\
 & & \wr \\
 & & H^*(C[-]; \mathbb{Q})
 \end{array}$$

So what I am *not* going to do is

- Develop the theory of semialgebraic sets—in a nutshell, they have a good theory of ‘piecewise algebraic forms’ which allow pushforwards along ‘semi-algebraic bundles’. See [3] for more.
- Define Ω_{PA} and the natural equivalence $\mathcal{A}_{PL} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}$
- Worry about the Künneth quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{PL}(X) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{PL}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{PL}(X \times Y)$ *going the wrong way*.

What I am going to do is

1. Define the Fulton-MacPherson operad $C[-]$ and talk about some of its nice properties.
2. Define Kontsevich’s operad $\mathcal{D}(-)$ of admissible diagrams.
3. Show that $\mathcal{D}(-)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $H^*(C[-])$.
4. Define the configuration space integral $I : \mathcal{D}(-) \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(-)$ and show that it is a quasi-isomorphism.

NOTATION A will always be a finite set, $n \geq 3$ will denote a fixed ambient dimension, k a field.

2 THE FULTON-MACPHERSON OPERAD

Let $C(A) := \text{Conf}(A, \mathbb{R}^n) / \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where the factor of \mathbb{R}^n acts by translation and $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ acts by dilation. Observe that this space is homeomorphic to the space of configurations with barycenter the origin and radius 1:

$$C(A) \cong \left\{ x : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid \bar{x} := \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_a x(a) = 0 \quad \max_{a \in A} \{x(a) - \bar{x}\} = 1 \right\}.$$

For distinct $a, b, c \in A$, we define two functions, normalized direction and relative distance:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ab} : C(A) &\rightarrow S^{n-1} & \delta_{abc} : C(A) &\rightarrow [0, \infty] \\ x &\mapsto \frac{x(a) - x(b)}{|x(a) - x(b)|} & x &\mapsto \frac{|x(a) - x(b)|}{|x(a) - x(c)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. Let $A^n \setminus \Delta$ denote the set of n -tuples with distinct entries and consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : C(A) &\longrightarrow (S^{n-1})^{A^2 \setminus \Delta} \times ([0, \infty])^{A^3 \setminus \Delta} \\ x &\longmapsto ((\theta_{a,b}), (\delta_{a,b,c})) \end{aligned}$$

The *Fulton-MacPherson compactification* $C[A]$ of $C(A)$ is the closure of the image of ι , i.e. $\overline{\iota(C(A))} =: C[A]$.

Idea. We are allowing points to be ‘infinitesimally close’ to each other, but by remembering the relative directions between the points labelled by $a \neq b$, the compactification doesn’t cause any ‘collapse’ or change in homotopy type.

We abuse terminology by calling $y \in C[A]$ a ‘configuration.’

Proposition. $C[A]$ is a compact semi-algebraic manifold with interior $C(A)$ and

$$\dim C[A] = \begin{cases} 0 & |A| \leq 1 \\ n|A| - n - 1 & |A| \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

Proposition. For $x \in C[A]$, the following are equivalent: $x \in \partial C[A] \iff \exists a, b, c \in A$ distinct such that $x(a) \simeq x(b)$ rel $x(c)$, i.e. $\delta_{a,b,c}(x) = 0$.

THE OPERAD STRUCTURE Suppose given a map of sets $\nu : A \rightarrow P$ with P ordered¹ and let $A_p = \nu^{-1}(p)$.

$$\begin{aligned} C[P] \times \prod_{p \in P} C[A_p] &\rightarrow C[A] \\ (x_0, (x_p)_{p \in P}) & \end{aligned}$$

Idea. Replace $x_0(p)$ by the configuration x_p “made infinitesimal.”

Note that the unit is given by the unique point $\{*\} \simeq C[1]$.

Proposition. [5] The Fulton-MacPherson operad $C[-]$ of configurations in \mathbb{R}^n and the little n -disks operad are weakly equivalent as topological operads.

¹It has been pointed out that one doesn’t need an ordering on P to define the operad structure—this will come in later when we want to put an orientation on the fiber of the structure map.

THE CANONICAL PROJECTIONS Given an inclusion $A \subset V$, there is a canonical projection ("forget points labelled by $V \setminus A$ "), which is compatible with the induced operad structure map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C[V] & \longrightarrow & C[A] \\ \wr & \searrow & \\ C[V] \times \prod_{v \in V} C[A_v] & & \end{array}$$

The Kontsevich configuration space integral is defined via a pushforward of certain semi-algebraic forms along these canonical projections.

Theorem. *Let A finite set and I linearly ordered. Then*

$$\pi: C[A \cup I] \rightarrow C[A]$$

is an oriented semi-algebraic bundle with fiber of dimension

$$\dim \text{fib } \pi \begin{cases} = n \cdot |I| & |A| \geq 2 \text{ or } I = \emptyset \\ < n \cdot |I| & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can consider the subbundle $C^\partial[A \cup I] \rightarrow C[A]$ given by, for $x \in C[A]$, $C^\partial[A \cup I]_x = \partial(C[A \cup I]_x)$. This is the *fiberwise boundary*.

DECOMPOSITION OF FIBERWISE BOUNDARY $\partial C[A]$

Idea. Most of the operad structure on $C[-]$ can be understood as an explicit decomposition of the boundary of $C[-]$ as a union of faces which are homeomorphic to products of the form $C[n] \times C[k_1] \times \cdots \times C[k_n]$.

Let $V = A \cup I$. For each $W \subsetneq V$, we have

$$\Phi_W: C[V/W] \times C[W] \rightarrow C[V]$$

where the image of Φ_W consists of configurations in which all $w \in W$ are infinitesimally close to one another. When $|W| \geq 2$ and either $W \subseteq A$ or $|W \cap A| \neq 1$, the image of Φ_W lies in the fiberwise boundary $C^\partial[V]$. Then we have the decomposition

$$C^\partial[A \cup I] = \bigcup_W \text{Im } \Phi_W$$

Fact. For distinct W, Z , $\text{Im } \Phi_W, \text{Im } \Phi_Z$ intersect in strictly smaller dimension.

3 THE CDGA OF ADMISSIBLE DIAGRAMS

STRATEGY Define a cdga cooperad structure on all diagrams $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and shows it descends to a class of admissible diagrams \mathcal{D} later.

Definition. A *diagram* Γ on A is a finite oriented graph with internal I_Γ and external A_Γ vertices and such that the sets of edges E_Γ and internal vertices I_Γ are linearly ordered. We write $s, t : E_\Gamma \rightarrow A_\Gamma \sqcup I_\Gamma$ for the source, target respectively.

An edge is

- a *chord* if its endpoints are external
- a *dead-end* if one of its endpoints has only one neighbor²
- *contractible* if it is not a chord, dead-end, or a loop

The space of diagrams $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ on A is the free k -module generated by isomorphism classes of diagrams Γ on A modulo the relations

- $\Gamma = (-1)^n \Gamma'$ if Γ, Γ' differ by inversion of one edge (and the ordering on $E_\Gamma = E_{\Gamma'}$ stays the same)
- $\Gamma = (-1)^n \Gamma'$ if Γ, Γ' differ by a transposition in the order of internal vertices
- $\Gamma = (-1)^{n-1} \Gamma'$ if Γ, Γ' differ by a transposition in the order of edges

Note that implicitly, the definition $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(-)$ depends on n , it's just suppressed from notation. In the following, when a fixed diagram is understood, I write E, I instead of E_Γ, I_Γ for ease of notation.

Definition. The *degree* of a diagram Γ is given by

$$\deg \Gamma = (n - 1)|E_\Gamma| - n|I_\Gamma|.$$

Remark. The degree is compatible with the equivalence relation above, hence $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ is a graded k -module.

Definition. The product diagram of $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ is given by “gluing them together along A ,” i.e.

- $A_{\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2} = A$
- $E_{\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2} = E_{\Gamma_1} \otimes E_{\Gamma_2}$, i.e. for all $e_1 \in E_{\Gamma_1}, e_2 \in E_{\Gamma_2}, e_1 < e_2$.
- $I_{\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2} = I_{\Gamma_1} \otimes I_{\Gamma_2}$

and where the source and target maps are given by their restrictions to $E_{\Gamma_1}, E_{\Gamma_2}$.

Remark. The *unit* diagram is the one with no edges or internal vertices.

²not the same as univalent! Since there might be loops or double/triple/etc edges.

Proposition. *This extends to a degree 0 linear map*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$$

For the following definition, we use the convention that the vertices in a diagram are always ordered such that $a < i$ for $a \in A, i \in I$.

Definition. Let Γ a diagram and e a contractible edge of Γ . The diagram Γ/e obtained from Γ by *contracting the edge* e is the diagram given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\Gamma/e} &= V_{\Gamma} \setminus \{\max\{s(e), t(e)\}\} \\ E_{\Gamma/e} &= E_{\Gamma} \setminus \{e\} \end{aligned}$$

We write \bar{f} for the image of $f \in E_{\Gamma}$ in Γ/e .

Define the *differential* of a diagram to be

$$d\Gamma = \sum_{e \in E_{\Gamma}^{contr}} \varepsilon(\Gamma, e) \cdot \Gamma/e$$

where

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma, e) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{pos(t(e); I)} & s(e) < t(e) \text{ and } M \text{ odd} \\ -(-1)^{pos(s(e); I)} & s(e) > t(e) \text{ and } M \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{pos(e; E)} & M \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Lemmas. 1. d defines a linear map $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$

2. d is homogenous of degree +1.

3. (Liebniz rule)

$$d(\Gamma \cdot \Gamma') = d(\Gamma) \cdot \Gamma' + (-1)^{\deg \Gamma} \Gamma \cdot d(\Gamma')$$

4. (chain map) $d^2 = 0$

Sketch of 4. Note that \bar{e}_2 is contractible in $\Gamma/e_1 \iff \bar{e}_1$ is contractible in Γ/e_2 , and $(\Gamma/e_1)/\bar{e}_2 \cong (\Gamma/e_2)/\bar{e}_1$. It remains to check that a sign vanishes.

Taken together, the lemmas above imply the

Theorem. $(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A), d)$ is a commutative differential graded algebra.

3.1 ADMISSIBLE DIAGRAMS

Definition. A diagram is *admissible* if it has no loops, double edges, internal vertices of valance ≤ 2 , and each internal vertex is connected (in the topological sense, i.e. regarding Γ as a CW complex) to an external vertex.

Remark. An admissible graph does not have dead ends.

Lemma. *The module of non-admissible diagrams is a differential ideal, i.e.*

$$d(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)$$

Definition. The *cDGA of admissible diagrams* is the quotient

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)/\mathcal{N}(A)$$

A cochain complex is *connected* if it is

- concentrated in nonnegative degrees
- isomorphic to k in degree 0 .

Proposition. *If $n \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{D}_n(A)$ is a connected cDGA.*

Proof. Suppose Γ a nontrivial diagram—want to show that it has positive degree. Consider “half-edges.” Since each internal vertex is of valance ≥ 3 , there are $\geq 3|I|$ half-edges, and since Γ is nontrivial there is at least one half-edge connecting {internal vertices} to an external vertex.

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &\geq \frac{1}{2}(3|I| + 1) \\ \deg \Gamma &= |E|(n-1) - |I|n \geq \frac{1}{2}(3|I| + 1)(n-1) - |I|n \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} + |I|\frac{n-3}{2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $n \geq 3$ and $|I| \geq 0$. □

3.2 COOPERAD STRUCTURE

Let $A \subset V$, i.e. $V = A \sqcup I$. Fix a map $\nu : A \rightarrow P$ and consider a map $\lambda : V \rightarrow P$ which agrees with ν on A^3 .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(P) \otimes \bigotimes_{p \in P} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_p) \\ \Gamma &\mapsto \sum_\lambda \pm [p^{th} \text{ clusters collapsed}] \otimes (p^{th} \text{ cluster}) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all λ satisfying the above.

[pictures]

The sign is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(I, \lambda) &= \{(v, w) \in I^2 \mid v < w \text{ and } \lambda(v) > \lambda(w)\} \\ S(E, \lambda) &= \{(e, f) \in E^2 \mid e < f \text{ and } \lambda(e) > \lambda(f)\} \\ \varepsilon(\Gamma, \lambda) &= (-1)^{n \cdot |S(I, \lambda)| + (n-1) |S(E, \lambda)|} \end{aligned}$$

³[4] refer to these maps as ‘condensations’.

Proposition. *This descends to a well-defined linear cooperad structure map*

$$\Psi_\nu : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P) \otimes \bigotimes_{p \in P} \mathcal{D}(A_p).$$

4 EQUIVALENCE OF THE COOPERADS $\mathcal{D}(-)$ AND $H^*(C[-])$

Recall: from Dexter's talk that we have

$$H^*(C[A]; k) \simeq \bigwedge \{g_{ab}\}_{a \neq b, a, b \in A} / g_{ab}^2 = 0 \quad g_{ab} = (-1)^n g_{ba} \quad g_{ab}g_{bc} + g_{bc}g_{ca} + g_{ca}g_{ab}$$

where $g_{ab} = \theta_{ab}^*(\text{vol}) \in H^{n-1}(C[A]; k)$.

For $a \neq b$, let $\Gamma\langle a, b \rangle$ denote the diagram with a single chord from a to b with no internal vertices or other edges.

Theorem. *For $n \geq 2$, there is a quasi-isomorphism of cdgas (\mathbb{Z} -graded if $n = 2$)*

$$\begin{aligned} J : \mathcal{D}(A) &\rightarrow H^*(C[A]; k) \\ \Gamma\langle a, b \rangle &\mapsto g_{ab} \\ \Gamma &\mapsto 0 \quad \text{if } \Gamma \text{ has an internal vertex.} \end{aligned}$$

First, need to show the map is well-defined, i.e. $J(d(-)) = 0$. The Arnold relation comes from the diagram with a single internal vertex which is connected to all external vertices. Since J is surjective on homology, we show that it induces an isomorphism on homology by computing dimension in each degree. The dimension computation involves induction on $|A|$ —here it is important to distinguish integer partitions and set partitions.

5 THE KONTSEVICH CONFIGURATION SPACE INTEGRALS

Goal. Construct a cDGA morphism

$$I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(C[A])$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism and “almost” a morphism of cooperads.

From now on, let Γ be a diagram on A . Let $\text{vol} \in \Omega^{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ be the standard normalized volume form on the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For every linearly ordered finite set E , let

$$\text{vol}_E = \times_{e \in E} \text{vol}_e \in \Omega_{\min}^{|E|(n-1)}((S^{n-1})^{|E|})$$

where the product is taken in the order on e . Recall that given two vertices $v, w \in V$, there is a map $\theta_{v,w} : C[V] \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. We use the convention that $\theta_{v,v}$ is the constant map to a basepoint $* \in S^{n-1}$. For an edge $e \in E_\Gamma$, let $\theta_e := \theta_{s(e), t(e)}$. Then define the map

$$\theta_\Gamma = (\theta_e)_{e \in E} : C[V] \rightarrow (S^{n-1})^E$$

Furthermore, recall that we have a canonical projection $\pi_\Gamma : C[V_\Gamma] \rightarrow C[A]$.

Definition. The Kontsevich configuration space integral \tilde{I} is given by: if $|A| \geq 2$,

$$\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = \pi_{\Gamma*} \theta_{\Gamma}^*(\text{vol}_E) \in \Omega_{PA}(C[A])$$

and if $|A| \leq 1$, then

$$\tilde{I}(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \Gamma \text{ a unit} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Lemma. \tilde{I} defines, for any finite set A , a degree zero linear map

$$\tilde{I} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(C[A])$$

5.1 I IS A MAP OF CDGAS

Proposition. \tilde{I} is a morphism of algebras, i.e. $\tilde{I}(\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2) = \tilde{I}(\Gamma_1)\tilde{I}(\Gamma_2)$.

Proposition. $\tilde{I}(\mathcal{N}(A))$, i.e. \tilde{I} vanishes on nonadmissible diagrams.

Corollary. \tilde{I} descends to a map of algebras

$$I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(C[A])$$

The latter proposition follows from

Lemmas. \tilde{I} vanishes on diagrams

1. with loops
2. with double edges
3. containing an internal vertex not connected to any external vertices
4. containing a univalent internal vertex
5. containing a bivalent internal vertex.

Sketch of 1, 2. These both follow from noticing that θ_{Γ} factors through a lower dimensional space, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C[V] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & (S^{n-1})^{E_{\Gamma}} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & (S^{n-1})^{E \setminus \{e\}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C[V] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & (S^{n-1})^{\{e,f\}} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \Delta \\ & & S^{n-1} \end{array}$$

and the

Fact. Let $\alpha \in \Omega_{PA}(X)$. If $\deg \alpha > \dim X$, then $\alpha = 0$.

Sketch of 3, 4. These follow from recognizing that θ factors through a canonical projection, e.g. for 3, wlog assume (by multiplicativity) that no internal vertices are connected to external vertices. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C[V] & \xrightarrow{\theta} & (S^{n-1})^{E_\Gamma} \\
& \searrow & \nearrow \\
& C[I] \times C[A] & \\
& & \searrow \\
& & C[A]
\end{array}$$

and we have the

Fact. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ an oriented semialgebraic bundle which factors as $E \xrightarrow{\rho} Z \rightarrow qB$. Suppose that $\dim q^{-1}(b) < \dim \pi^{-1}(b)$ pointwise. Then for any $\mu \in \Omega_{\min}(Z)$, we have $\pi_*(\rho^*(\mu)) = 0$.

The result follows by comparing the dimensions of the fibers of $C[V] \rightarrow C[A]$ and $C[I] \times C[A] \rightarrow C[A]$. The proof of 4. is similar.

Sketch of 5. Suppose i the internal vertex of valance 2. The idea is to consider the automorphism of $C[V_\Gamma]$ which replaces the point labeled by i by a point symmetric to it with respect to the barycenters of the points v, w . For simplicity, suppose that the only vertices in our diagram are i, v, w . Consider the aforementioned automorphism of $C[\{i, v, w\}]$:

- this ‘antipode about $\frac{v+w}{2}$ ’ picks up a sign of $(-1)^n$
- swapping the edges (i, v) and (i, w) picks up a sign of $(-1)^{n-1}$.

hence $I(\Gamma) = -I(\Gamma) \implies I(\Gamma) = 0$.

The argument is similar in the case of more vertices in the diagram.

Proposition. *The Kontsevich configuration space integrals commute with the differential, i.e.*

$$\tilde{I} \circ d = d \circ \tilde{I} \quad I \circ d = d \circ I. \quad (1)$$

Proposition (fiberwise Stokes formula). *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ an oriented SA bundle with k -dimensional fiber and $\pi^\partial : E^\partial \rightarrow B$ its fiberwise boundary. Then for $\mu \in \Omega_{\min}(E)$,*

$$d(\pi_*(\mu)) = \pi_*(d\mu) + (-1)^{|\mu|-k} \pi_*^\partial(\mu|_{E^\partial})$$

Fact. If π as above and $E = \bigcup E_\lambda$ where $E_\lambda \cap E_\eta$ has fiber dimension $< k$ for all $\lambda \neq \eta$, then the pushforward satisfies $\pi_* = \sum \pi_{\lambda*}(-)|_{E_\lambda}$.

Applying these to (1), we get that the RHS is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{I}(\Gamma)) &= d\pi_*(\theta^*(\text{vol})) = \pi_*(d\theta^*(\text{vol})) + (-1)^{|\text{vol}_{E_\Gamma}| - |I| \cdot n} \pi_*^\partial(\theta^*(\text{vol}_{E_\Gamma}|_{C^\partial[V]})) \\ &= \sum_W (\pi^\partial|_{\text{Im } \Phi_W})_*(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Claim. All terms in the sum on the RHS above vanish *except* when W is the pair of endpoints of a contractible edge e of Γ .

The rough idea is to use the following diagram to reduce the question (push-forward of a form from $C[V/W]$) and (evaluating/integrating a form on $C[W]$) and vice versa.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (S^{n-1})^{\bar{E}} \times (S^{n-1})^{E'} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (S^{n-1})^E & & \\ \bar{\theta} \times \theta' \uparrow & & \theta \uparrow & & \\ C[V/W] \times C[W] & \xrightarrow{\Phi_W} & C^\partial[V] & \longleftarrow & C[V] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \pi & \\ & & C[A] & & \end{array}$$

Vanishing occurs for degree reasons and proof follows from casework. Then we have to check that the signs agree.

6 PROOF OF FORMALITY

$n \geq 3$: We only need to check that I defines a quasi-isomorphism. It is surjective on cohomology because $\Gamma\langle a, b \rangle \mapsto g_{ab}$, and we know that $\mathcal{D}(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}(C[-])$ because Ω_{PA} is quasi-isomorphic to (singular) cochains.

7 INTRINSIC FORMALITY

(Follows [2].)

Remark. Fresse-Willwacher consider *nonunital* operads with extra structure they call ‘ λ -operations,’ which capture the structure of a unit. More precisely, there is an equivalence of theories $\{\text{unital operads}\} \simeq \{\text{nonunital } \Lambda\text{-operads}\}$.

Recall from Jun Hou’s talk that the inverse to the embedding of ‘nice’ rational spaces into **cDGA** is given by⁴

$$G := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{cDGA}}(-, \mathbb{Q}) : \mathbf{cDGA} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$$

Fact. $H^*(E_n) \simeq \text{Pois}_n^c$ admits a cofibrant resolution in Hopf dg cooperads given by $CE^*(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ where \mathfrak{p}_n is a graded version of Lie algebras of infinitesimal braids.

⁴In the following, I make no distinction between G and FW’s ‘derived’ version G_\bullet .

Note that there is an orientation-reversing involution on the little n -disks operad which induces an involution on its homology $J : H_*(E_n) \simeq \text{Pois}_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pois}_n$.

Theorem. [2] *Let \mathcal{P} a Λ -operad in \mathbf{sSet} . Suppose each $\mathcal{P}(r)$ is \mathbb{Q} -good in the sense of Bousfield-Kan. Assume that we have an isomorphism of Λ -operads (in coalgebras over \mathbb{Q}): $H_*(\mathcal{P}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Pois}_n$ for $n \geq 3$. If $4|n$, assume that \mathcal{P} is equipped with an involution reflecting the involution of the Poisson operad. Then \mathcal{P} is rationally weakly equivalent to $G(\text{Pois}_n)$ as an operad in \mathbf{sSet} .*

Sketch. Suppose given $\chi : \text{Pois}_n^c \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{P})$ a homology isomorphism.

1. Take resolutions $\text{Res}_\bullet \mathcal{P}$ and $\text{Res}^\bullet \text{Pois}_n$. Note that these are the quasi-(co)free conditions Jun Hou talked about last week. These resolutions come from a cofree (coalg)-forgetful adjunction.
2. Consider the (bicosimplicial) mapping space

$$X^{\bullet, \bullet} := \text{Map}_{\text{Op}_{dg}^\Lambda}(\text{Res}_\bullet \text{Pois}_n^c, \text{Res}^\bullet H^*(\mathcal{P}))$$

Note that it is sufficient to consider the totalization of the diagonal cosimplicial object $X^{n,n}$ because Δ is cosifted.

Furthermore, if \mathcal{P} has an involution, then the involutions on it and Pois_n^c act on the mapping space X .

3. Compute $\pi^0 \pi_0(X) = \text{Map}_{\text{Op}_{dg}^{\text{Hopf}, \Lambda}}(\text{Pois}_n^c, H^*(\mathcal{P}))$. Then χ is an element on the RHS, and we want to lift this to an element of $\text{Tot } X^\bullet$.
4. [1] The obstruction to lifting χ to the ℓ th stage lies in $\pi^{\ell+1} \pi_\ell(X)$. The obstruction to uniqueness of lifting lives in $\pi^\ell \pi_\ell(X)$.
Note that if $4|n$, need to do this obstruction theory equivariantly.
5. Computation of cohomotopy groups goes through a series of simplifications:

- (a) Have an isomorphism of normalized cochain complexes

$$N\pi_*(X, *) \simeq \text{NBiDer}_{\text{Op}_{dg}^\Lambda}(\text{Res}_\bullet \text{Pois}_n^c, \text{Res}^\bullet H^*(\mathcal{P}))$$

- (b) Use Koszul duality to relate the biderivation complex to a deformation bicomplex.
- (c) The deformation bicomplex is equivalent to a twisted end complex, which is defined in terms of graph complexes.
- (d) Compute the homology of some graph complexes. This step looks somewhat like the proof of formality which we saw earlier.

Remark. A similar technique can also be used to analyze the mapping space $\text{Map}(E_n^{\mathbb{Q}}, E_m^{\mathbb{Q}})$ for n, m distinct.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Bousfield. Homotopy spectral sequences and obstructions. *Israel J. Math.*, 66(1-3):54–104, 1989.

- [2] Benoit Fresse and Thomas Willwacher. The intrinsic formality of e_n -operads. *To appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2018.
- [3] Robert Hardt, Pascal Lambrechts, Victor Turchin, and Ismar Volić. Real homotopy theory of semi-algebraic sets. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 11(5):2477–2545, 2011.
- [4] Pascal Lambrechts and Ismar Volić. Formality of the little N -disks operad. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 230(1079):viii+116, 2014.
- [5] Paolo Salvatore. Configuration spaces with summable labels. In *Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998)*, volume 196 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 375–395. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.