

CSCA48 WINTER 2015

WEEK 10 - SORTING

Anna Bretscher

March 18/19, 2015

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed
- Sorting algorithms are interesting to analyze

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed
- Sorting algorithms are interesting to analyze
- Good examples of recursion

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed
- Sorting algorithms are interesting to analyze
- Good examples of recursion
- Non-recursive sorting algorithms have invariants:

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed
- Sorting algorithms are interesting to analyze
- Good examples of recursion
- Non-recursive sorting algorithms have invariants:
 - Invariant: A statement that is true at the end of each iteration of a loop.

WHY SORTING?

- A big part of computer science - happens all the time
- Sorting algorithms have been well studied and therefore developed
- Sorting algorithms are interesting to analyze
- Good examples of recursion
- Non-recursive sorting algorithms have invariants:
 - Invariant: A statement that is true at the end of each iteration of a loop.
 - Use invariants to write code/prove code works

INSERTION SORT

- Very simple

INSERTION SORT

- Very simple
- Takes $O(??)$ time
- Done in-place

INSERTION SORT

- Very simple
- Takes $O(??)$ time
- Done in-place
- Idea:

```
for x in L:
```

```
    insert x into the correct position in sorted list S
```

INSERTION SORT

- Very simple
- Takes $O(??)$ time
- Done in-place
- Idea:

```
for x in L:
```

```
    insert x into the correct position in sorted list S
```

- Invariant: S is sorted

INSERTION SORT

- Very simple
- Takes $O(?)$ time
- Done in-place
- Idea:

```
for x in L:
```

```
    insert x into the correct position in sorted list S
```

- Invariant: S is sorted
- When is Insertion Sort fairly efficient?

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

while H not empty:

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

```
while H not empty:  
    m = extract_min()
```

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

```
while H not empty:  
    m = extract_min()  
    append m to S
```

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

```
while H not empty:
```

```
    m = extract_min()
```

```
    append m to S
```

- Each `extract_min` - $O(\log n)$

HEAP SORT

- Given a list, return it sorted as S
- What was the complexity again?
- Build the heap H - $O(n)$

```
while H not empty:
```

```
    m = extract_min()
```

```
    append m to S
```

- Each `extract_min` - $O(\log n)$
- We do this n times is for $O(n \log n)$

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2
- Merge L1 and L2 into a single sorted list

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2
- Merge L1 and L2 into a single sorted list
- How do we merge two sorted lists?

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2
- Merge L1 and L2 into a single sorted list
- How do we merge two sorted lists?

```
while (neither L1 nor L2 are empty):
```

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2
- Merge L1 and L2 into a single sorted list
- How do we merge two sorted lists?

```
while (neither L1 nor L2 are empty):  
    move min(L1[0], L2[0]) to S
```

MERGE SORT

- Divide and Conquer algorithm
- Split the list into L1 and L2
- Recursively sort L1 and L2
- Merge L1 and L2 into a single sorted list
- How do we merge two sorted lists?

```
while (neither L1 nor L2 are empty):  
    move min(L1[0], L2[0]) to S  
Append rest of non-empty list to S
```

MERGE SORT

```
mergesort(L):  
    if len(L) < 2, return L  
    split L into L1 and L2  
    S1 = mergesort(L1)  
    S2 = mergesort(L2)  
    S = merge(S1, S2)  
    return S
```

COMPLEXITY OF MERGE SORT

- How many times do we "divide"? how many levels of recursion are there?
- Start with length n , then $\frac{n}{2}$, then $\frac{n}{4}, \dots, 1$

COMPLEXITY OF MERGE SORT

- How many times do we "divide"? how many levels of recursion are there?
- Start with length n , then $\frac{n}{2}$, then $\frac{n}{4}, \dots, 1$
- So $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$ levels

COMPLEXITY OF MERGE SORT

- How many times do we "divide"? how many levels of recursion are there?
- Start with length n , then $\frac{n}{2}$, then $\frac{n}{4}, \dots, 1$
- So $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$ levels
- How much work do we do per level?

COMPLEXITY OF MERGE SORT

- How many times do we "divide"? how many levels of recursion are there?
- Start with length n , then $\frac{n}{2}$, then $\frac{n}{4}, \dots, 1$
- So $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$ levels
- How much work do we do per level?
- Visit each item in the list, so n steps

COMPLEXITY OF MERGE SORT

- How many times do we "divide"? how many levels of recursion are there?
- Start with length n , then $\frac{n}{2}$, then $\frac{n}{4}, \dots, 1$
- So $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$ levels
- How much work do we do per level?
- Visit each item in the list, so n steps
- Total: $O(n \log n)$

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort
- Idea:

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort
- Idea:

Select an item from L to be the pivot

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort

- Idea:

Select an item from L to be the pivot

Split L into three sublists: L_1, L_2, L_3

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort

- Idea:

Select an item from L to be the pivot

Split L into three sublists: L_1, L_2, L_3

$L_1 \leftarrow$ values in L smaller than the pivot

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort

- Idea:

Select an item from L to be the pivot

Split L into three sublists: L_1, L_2, L_3

$L_1 \leftarrow$ values in L smaller than the pivot

$L_2 \leftarrow$ values in L equal to the pivot

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort

- Idea:

Select an item from L to be the pivot

Split L into three sublists: L_1, L_2, L_3

$L_1 \leftarrow$ values in L smaller than the pivot

$L_2 \leftarrow$ values in L equal to the pivot

$L_3 \leftarrow$ values in L larger than the pivot

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort
- Idea:

```
Select an item from L to be the pivot
Split L into three sublists: L1, L2, L3
L1 ← values in L smaller than the pivot
L2 ← values in L equal to the pivot
L3 ← values in L larger than the pivot
S1 = quicksort(L1)
S3 = quicksort(L3)
```

QUICKSORT

- Divide and Conquer like Mergesort
- Idea:

```
Select an item from L to be the pivot
Split L into three sublists: L1, L2, L3
L1 ← values in L smaller than the pivot
L2 ← values in L equal to the pivot
L3 ← values in L larger than the pivot
S1 = quicksort(L1)
S3 = quicksort(L3)
Return S1 + L2 + S3
```