## Week 2: <br> Circuit Creation

## You are here



## From transistors to gates

- Transistors are semiconductor circuits that can connect the source and the drain together, depending on the voltage value at the gate.
- NPN transistors (nMOS) are connected when the gate value is high.
- PNP transistors (pMOS) are connected when the gate value is low.
- These are then used to make digital logic gates.


Transistor notation

- NPN transistor:

$$
\frac{1}{\Omega}+\frac{1}{\lambda}
$$

- PNP transistor:

$$
\stackrel{1}{\Omega} \star
$$

- Voltage values:

$$
\text { " } \top
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\square} \neq \frac{1}{\nabla}
$$

## How gates are made

- To create logic gates:
- Remember that transistors act like faucets for electricity.
- The inputs to the logic gates determine if the outputs will be connected to high or low voltage.
- Example: NOT gates:



## Creating circuits with gates



## Making logic with gates

- Logic gates like the following allow us to create an output value, based on one or more input values.
- Each corresponds to Boolean logic that we've seen before in CSCAo8/A48/A67:


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $A$ | $B$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 |

## Aside: notation

- While we're talking about notation...
- AND operations are denoted in these expressions by the multiplication symbol.
" e.g. $A \cdot B \cdot C$ or $A * B * C \approx A \wedge B \wedge C$
- OR operations are denoted by the addition symbol.
- e.g. $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C} \approx \mathrm{A}$ (BVC
- NOT is denoted by multiple symbols.
- e.g. $\neg \mathbb{A}$ or $\mathbb{A}^{\prime}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$

XOR occurs rarely in circuit expressions.
e.g. $A \oplus B$

## Making boolean expressions

- So how would you represent boolean expressions using logic gates?

$$
Y=(A \text { or } B) \text { and (not } A \text { or not } B) \text { or } C
$$

- Like so:



## Now you are here



## Creating complex circuits

- What do we do in the case of more complex circuits, with several inputs and more than one output?
- If you're lucky, a truth table is provided to express the circuit.
- Usually the behaviour of the circuit is expressed in words, and the first step involves creating a truth
 table that represents the described behaviour.


## Circuit example

- The circuit on the right has three inputs (A, B and C) and two outputs ( X and Y ).

- What logic is needed to set X high when all three inputs are high?
- What logic is needed to set $Y$ high when the number of high inputs is odd?


## Combinational circuits

- Small problems can be solved easily.

- Larger problems require a more systematic approach.
- Example: Given three inputs A, B, and C, make output $Y$ high in the case where all of the inputs are low, or when $A$ and $B$ are low and $C$ is high, or when $A$ and $C$ are low but B is high, or when A is low and B and C are high.


## Creating complex logic

- How do we approach problems like these (and circuit problems in general)?
- Basic steps:

1. Create truth tables.

2. Express as boolean expression.
3. Convert to gates.

- The key to an efficient design?

Spending extra time on Step \#2.

## Example truth table

- Consider the following example:
- "Given three inputs A, B, and C, make output Y high wherever any of the inputs are low, except when all three are low or when A and C are high."

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

- This leads to the truth table on the right.
- Is there a more compact way to describe this?

Minterms and Maxterms


## Minterms

- An easier way to express circuit behaviour is to assume the standard truth table format, and then list which input rows cause high output.
- These rows are referred to as minterms.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |


| Minterm | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{1}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{2}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{3}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{4}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{5}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{6}$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{7}$ | 0 |

## Minterms and maxterms

- A more formal description:
- Minterm = an AND expression with every input present in true or complemented form.
- Maxterm = an OR expression with every input present in true or complemented form.
- For example, given four inputs (A, B, C, D):
- Valid minterms:
- $\mathrm{A} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{D}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \mathrm{B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{D}$
- Valid maxterms:

$$
\text { - } \mathrm{A}+\overline{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{~A}}+\mathrm{B}+\overline{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{D}, \quad \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}
$$

Neither minterm nor maxterm:

$$
\cdot \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{D}, \quad \mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{D}, \quad \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}
$$

## What is This For?

- Minterms and maxterms are a shorthand to refer to rows of the truth table.
- minterms describe rows where output is high.
- maxterms describe rows where output is low.'
- We then OR minterms or AND maxterms.

Don't mix them both

## Back to minterms

- Circuits are often described using minterms or maxterms, as a form of logic shorthand.
- Given n inputs, there are $2^{n}$ minterms and maxterms possible (same as rows in a truth table).
- Naming scheme:
- Minterms are labeled as $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ maxterms are labeled as $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$
- The x subscript indicates the row in the truth table.
- x starts at 0 , and ends with $\mathrm{n}-1$.
- Example: Given 3 inputs -

Minterms are $m_{0}(\overline{\mathrm{~A}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~B}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}})$ to $\mathrm{m}_{7}(\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C})$
Maxterms are $\mathrm{M}_{0}(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})$ to $\mathrm{M}_{7}(\overline{\mathrm{~A}}+\overline{\mathrm{B}}+\overline{\mathrm{C}})$

## Quick Exercises

- Given 4 inputs A, B, C and D write:
- $\mathrm{m}_{9}$
- $\mathrm{m}_{15}$
- $\mathrm{m}_{16}$
- $M_{2}$
- Which minterm is this?
$\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \mathrm{B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}$
- Which maxterm is this?

$$
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\overline{\mathrm{D}}
$$

## $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ vs $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$

- $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ is $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$
- $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}=1$ iff $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}$ (row o)
- $M_{0}$ is $A$ or $B$ or $C$
- $M_{0}=0$ iff $A=B=C=0($ row 0$)$
- Minterms tell us when the output is 1
- Maxterms tell us when the input is o


## Using minterms and maxterms

- What are minterms used for?
- A single minterm indicates a set of inputs that will make the output go high.
- Example: $\mathrm{m}_{2}$
- Output only goes high in third row of truth table.

| A | B | C | D | m $_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Using minterms and maxterms

- What happens when you OR two minterms?
- Result is output that goes high in both minterm cases.
- For $m_{2}+m_{8}$, both third and ninth rows of truth table result in high output.

| A | B | C | D | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Creating Boolean expressions

- Two canonical forms of Boolean expressions:
- Sum-of-Minterms (SOM):
- Since each minterm corresponds to a single high output in the truth table, the combined high outputs are a union of these minterm expressions.
- Also known as: Sum-of-Products.
- Product-of-Maxterms (POM):
- Since each maxterm only produces a single low output in the truth table, the combined low outputs are an intersection of these maxterm expressions. Also known as Product-of-Sums.


## $Y=m_{2}+m_{6}+m_{7}+m_{10}(S O M)$

| A | B | C | D | $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{10}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
Y=M_{3} \cdot M_{5} \cdot M_{7} \cdot M_{10} \cdot M_{14}(P O M)
$$

| A | B | C | D | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{7}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{10}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{14}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Using Sum-of-Minterms

- Sum-of-Minterms is a way of expressing which inputs cause the output to go high. Product-ofMaxterms is a way of expression which inputs cause the output to go low.
- Assumes that the truth table columns list the inputs according to some logical or natural order.
- Minterm and maxterm expressions are used for efficiency reasons:
- More compact that displaying entire truth tables.
- Sum-of-minterms are useful in cases with very few input combinations that produce high output.
Product-of-maxterms useful when expressing truth tables that have very few low output cases...


## Converting SOM to gates

- Once you have a Sum-of-Minterms expression, it is easy to convert this to the equivalent combination of gates:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{m}_{0}+\mathrm{m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}+\mathrm{m}_{3}= \\
\hline \overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~B}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}}+\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~B}} \cdot \mathrm{C}+ \\
\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}}+\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C}=
\end{gathered}
$$




## Reducing circuits

## Which is Better?

- Which implementation do you prefer? Why?
A.



## Reasons for reducing circuits



- Note example of Sum-of-Minterms circuit design.
- To minimize the number of gates, we want to reduce the boolean expression as much as possible from a collection of minterms to something smaller.
- This is where CSCA67 skills come in handy ©


## Boolean algebra review

- Axioms:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 \cdot 0=0 & 0 \cdot 1=1 \cdot 0=0 \\
1 \cdot 1=1 & \text { if } x=1, \bar{x}=0
\end{array}
$$

- From this, we can extrapolate:


## If one input of a 2 -input AND gate is 1 , then the output is whatever value the other input is.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
x \cdot 0= & x+1= \\
x \cdot 1= & x+0= \\
x \cdot x= & x+x= \\
x \cdot \bar{x}= & x+\bar{x}= \\
\overline{\bar{x}}= &
\end{array}
$$

## Other Boolean identities

- Commutative Law:

$$
x \cdot y=y \cdot x \quad x+y=y+x
$$

- Associative Law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \cdot(y \cdot z)=(x \cdot y) \cdot z \\
& x+(y+z)=(x+y)+z
\end{aligned}
$$

- Distributive Law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \cdot(y+z)=x \cdot y+x \cdot z \\
& x+(y \cdot z)=(x+y) \cdot(x+z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Does this hold in conventional algebra?

## Other boolean identities

- Simplification Law:

$$
x+(\bar{x} \cdot y)=x+y \quad x \cdot(\bar{x}+y)=x \cdot y
$$

- Consensus Law:

$$
x \cdot y+\bar{x} \cdot z+y \cdot z=x \cdot y+\bar{x} \cdot z
$$

- Proof by Venn diagram:



## Other boolean identities

- Absorption Law:

$$
x \cdot(x+y)=x \quad x+(x \cdot y)=x
$$

- De Morgan's Laws:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}=\overline{x+y} \\
& \bar{x}+\bar{y}=\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Converting to NAND gates

- De Morgan's Law is important because out of all the gates, NANDs are the cheapest to fabricate.
- a Sum-of-Products circuit could be converted into an equivalent circuit of NAND gates:

- This is all based on de Morgan's Law:



## Reducing boolean expressions

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- Using SOM:

$$
Y=\frac{\bar{A} \cdot B \cdot C+\bar{A} \cdot \bar{B} \cdot \bar{C}+}{} \begin{aligned}
& A \cdot B \cdot \bar{C}+A \cdot B \cdot C
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now start combining terms, like the last two:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y=\bar{A} & \cdot B \cdot C+A \cdot \bar{B} \cdot \bar{C} \\
& +A \cdot B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reducing Boolean expressions

- Different final expressions possible, depending on what terms you combine.
- For instance, given the previous example:
- If you combine the end and middle terms...

$$
\left.\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C} \right\rvert\,
$$

- Which reduces the number of gates and inputs!


## Reducing Boolean expressions

- What is considered the "simplest" expression?
- In this case, "simple" denotes the lowest gate cost (G) or the lowest gate cost with NOTs (GN).
- To calculate the gate cost, simply add all the gates together (as well as the cost of the NOT gates, in the case of the GN cost).
In this example the
 cost per gate is 1


## Karnaugh maps



## Reducing Boolean expressions

- How do we find the "simplest" expression for a circuit?
- Technique called Karnaugh maps (or K-maps).
- Karnaugh maps are a 2D grid of minterms, where adjacent minterm locations in the grid differ by a single literal.
- Values of the grid are the output for that minterm.

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## Karnaugh maps

- Karnaugh maps can be of any size, and have any number of inputs.
${ }^{-} 4$ inputs here

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{2}$ |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{14}$ |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{10}$ |

- Since adjacent minterms only differ by a single value, they can be grouped into a single term that omits that value.


## Using Karnaugh maps

- Once Karnaugh maps are created, draw boxes over groups of high output values.
- Boxes must be rectangular, and aligned with map.
- Number of values contained within each box must be a power of 2 .
- Boxes may overlap with each other.
- Boxes may wrap across edges of map.

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## Using Karnaugh maps

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{B} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

- Once you find the minimal number of boxes that cover all the high outputs, create Boolean expressions from the inputs that are common to all elements in the box.
- For this example:
- Vertical box: B C
- Horizontal box: A. $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$


Overall equation: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{A} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}}$

## Karnaugh maps and maxterms

- Can also use this technique to group maxterms together as well.
- Karnaugh maps with maxterms

|  | C+D | $\mathbf{C}+\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}+\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}+\mathrm{D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}+\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}}+\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{15}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{14}$ |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{10}$ | involves grouping the zero entries together, instead of grouping the entries with one values.

## Quick Exercise

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}= & \bar{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}+\bar{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}+\bar{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{D}+ \\
& \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}+\overline{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

- $B \bar{C}+\bar{B} C \bar{D}$


## Circuit Creation Algorithm

- Understand desired behaviour
- Write truth table
- Write SOM (or POM) for truth table
- Simplify SOM using K-Map
- Translate simplified SOM into Circuits
- Celebrate!

