Decision making can be a complex task involving a sequence of subdecisions. For example, we decide to pursue a goal (e.g., get something to eat), then decide how to accomplish that goal (e.g., go to a restaurant), and then make a sequence of more specific plans (e.g., which restaurant to go to, how to get there, what to order, etc.). In characterizing the effects of stimulating individual brain neurons in the isolated nervous system of the leech Hirudo medicinalis, we have found evidence that leeches also make decisions sequentially. In this study, we describe a pair of interneurons that elicited locomotory motor programs, either swimming or crawling, in isolated nerve cords. In semi-intact animals, stimulating the same neurons also produced either swimming or crawling, and which behavior was produced could be controlled experimentally by manipulating the depth of saline around the intact part of the leech. These same neurons were excited and fired strongly when swimming or crawling occurred spontaneously or in response to mechanosensory stimulation. We conclude that these brain interneurons help to decide on locomotion (i.e., they are " locomotory command-like neurons") and that the ultimate behavior is determined downstream, in a part of the decision-making hierarchy that monitors stimuli related to the depth of fluid surrounding the leech.
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Animals continually assess their surroundings and make behavioral adjustments to act appropriately under current conditions. An animal selects a goal, on the basis of internal drives and external conditions, that helps it to survive (Tinbergen, 1951). Sometimes animals must choose between conflicting goals: a hungry animal might abandon eating to avoid being captured by a predator. Different tasks can be used to achieve a selected goal, and each task can take different forms (Stein et al., 1986). For example, to escape predation an animal could locomote through water or over land. Locomotion over land could take several forms, such as crawling or running. An animal must use sensory information to inform its decisions about which form of task to use if it is to achieve its current goal. How these decisions are made should be reflected in the neural architecture. At one extreme, decisions about goal, task, and form may be made independently, at separate neural locations. At the other extreme, sensory information could trigger one form of a task directly, with no overt choice about goal or task ever being made.

Because invertebrate nervous systems are more accessible experimentally and have fewer neurons than vertebrate brains, they can yield insight into the mechanisms of behavioral choice that are difficult to study in higher vertebrates (Glimcher, 2001; Schall, 2001). Although invertebrates surely do not engage in the cognitive processes that humans engage in, they do exhibit the behavioral manifestation of choice: they predictably do one thing or another, in one way or another, in response to complex stimuli. Therefore, even simple organisms engage in a process akin to decision making. Understanding the neuronal events underlying simple behavioral choices can help us understand decision making in higher animals.

The leech is an excellent model system for studying behavioral choice. Leeches exhibit simple reflex behaviors, including local bending (Lockery and Kristan, 1990) and whole-body shortening (Shaw and Kristan, 1995), as well as complex, modifiable behaviors such as swimming (Kristan et al., 1974) and crawling (Eisenhart et al., 2000). These behaviors can be elicited, and their circuit-level interactions can be studied in both isolated nerve cords and semi-intact preparations. Such studies have indicated that each of several leech neurons is active in multiple behaviors. The mechanisms that effect switching between behaviors have been described (Shaw and Kristan, 1997).

Several neurons capable of initiating behavior have somata located in the leech's subesophageal ganglion and possess axons that project the entire length of the nerve cord (Brodfuehrer and Friesen, 1986; Brodfuehrer and Burns, 1995; Brodfuehrer et al., 1995b). To date, all identified command-like neurons in the subesophageal ganglion either initiate or terminate swimming. Studies of shortening, however, have suggested that command-like neurons for this behavior also are located in the subesophageal ganglion (Shaw and Kristan, 1999). These observations suggest that the subesophageal ganglion may contain neurons capable of initiating several behaviors.

In this study, we located candidate decision-related neurons in the subesophageal ganglion of the leech by retrograde labeling of long-distance projection neurons. In a preliminary report (Esch and Kristan, 2002), we described one of these neurons, which initiates both swimming and crawling motor patterns in isolated nerve cords. We now present more extensive data, using semi-intact preparations, that confirm the previous data and demonstrate that which behavior the neuron elicits can be controlled experimentally by changing the saline level in the recording chamber. These data support a serial model of behavioral choice in which the decision to locomote (the task) is made before the decision about what form of locomotion to produce.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
Adult Hirudo medicinalis weighing 2–5 gm were obtained from Leeches USA (Westbury, NY) or Zaugg (Biebertal, Germany) and were maintained in artificial pond water at 15°C. The general experimental methods were as described previously (Kristan et al., 1974).

Preparations
Isolated nerve cord preparation. Leeches were anesthetized in chilled leech saline (Nicholls and Purves, 1970). The entire nerve cord, including the subesophageal ganglion and subesophageal ganglion, was dissected from the leech. Dorsal posterior (DP) nerves were dissected away from the body tissue and left attached to the ganglia in one to two segments, usually between ganglia 7 and 16. The ventral blood sinus was dissected away from the subesophageal ganglion, one to two midbody ganglia, and the interganglionic connectives, were dissected from the leech. Generally, in "chamber was filled, rectangular recording chamber. The exposure of skin was used to pin down the body wall and left attached to the ganglion, and the nerves of ganglion 17 or 18, with no apparent effect on the appearance of the preparation in a wax-vatuated skin attached to the anterior end. The body shortening; otherwise, it was classified as "swim." The proportion of behaviors in each category for each water level was calculated independently for each leech for each day of testing. If the leech failed to locomote during the session, zero was entered for all three categories.

Electrophysiology and behavior
Connective and DP nerve recordings were made with glass-tipped suction electrodes. Connective recordings were recorded en passant between two ganglia, generally between four and five in isolated cords and between two and three for semi-intact preparations. Intracellular recordings were made with sharp microelectrodes filled with 3 mm potassium acetate, having resistances of 40–50 MΩ. In most experiments, −2.5% Neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was dissolved in the solution in the recording electrodes to aid in subsequent morphological identification of the impaled cell (see below).

Cell selection. The ventrolateral circular excitor (CV) was identified by its location (Stuart, 1970) and firing pattern (Eisenhart et al., 2000). The paired neuron R3b1 was identified by the following characteristics. (1) The cell body was located in the R3b packet, just medial and slightly anterior to a consistently located prominent cell of unknown function. (2) Intracellular spikes were matched one-to-one with spikes in the connective. (3) Depolarizing the neuron with 2–4 nA of current elicited the crawling motor pattern. (4) The neuron, when filled with an intracellular dye, had a distinctive morphology. Four morphologically distinct neurons were routinely recorded, and each was uniquely correlated with a distinct behavior; however, whenever a neuron in this region elicited crawling, it had a morphology similar to that shown in Figure 1b. In ~75% of the experiments, R3b1 was correctly selected by its position alone, as confirmed subsequently by the physiological and morphological criteria.

Monitoring of behaviors. In isolated nerve cords, fictive behaviors were monitored by examining the firing patterns of the dorsal excitor motor neuron cell 3 in the DP nerve(s) and of CV neurons. The motor patterns for different behaviors are distinctive, as described previously (Kristan et al., 1974; Shaw and Kristan, 1995; Eisenhart et al., 2000). In semi-intact preparations, direct observation of the intact portion of the leech was used in addition to a DP recording to monitor behaviors. When swimming occurred, bursts of cell 3 firing indicated the contraction phase of each cycle. When crawling occurred, however, cell 3 bursts in the anterior segments were not sufficient to indicate the contraction phase: we found that cell 3 sometimes fired during elongation in these segments, presumably to assist in lifting the head. Because the cell 3 firing pattern was insufficient to indicate the phase of crawling, a foot pedal was used to mark the times at which elongation and contraction waves were observed to begin. Behaviors were elicited in the semi-intact preparation by using thin wooden applicator sticks to stroke or prod the anterior or posterior end of the leech. We found that these probes, of all the stimulation techniques tried, produced the most artificial. Timing of the stimulation was marked with a foot pedal. In isolated cord preparations, electrical stimulation was applied to the DP nerves via a Grass stimulator (10 msec pulses delivered at 10 Hz for 500 msec).

Data acquisition. Physiological data were digitized with a MacADIOS A-D board and displayed and analyzed with Superscope II (GW Instruments, Somerville, MA). To compute the spike-triggered average, Superscope data were imported into Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA).

Spine analysis. Because the spike-initiating zones of most projection neurons in the leech are located far from the soma, the spikes measured in the soma are small (<5 mV) and can be difficult to distinguish from the noise. Therefore, to measure the spike frequency, spikes were counted by manually comparing the intracellular recording with the connective recording. When R3b1 was firing at low frequency in an inactive leech, spikes in the connective were easily identifiable by their latency from intracellular spikes (see Fig. 1c). When more activity was present, spikes could be identified by size, shape, and latency. The time of each spike was recorded and used to make raster plots or histograms.

Neuronal labeling
Retrograde labeling. The head brain and anterior three to four ganglia were dissected from the leech and placed in leech saline. A small well, made from a round section of a plastic pipette tip and Vaseline, was built around the cut end of the connective. In some cases, the well surrounded only one lateral connective, so that ipsilaterally and contralaterally projecting neurons could be distinguished. The well was filled with distilled water, and crystals of xylitol (20 mg) and of dextran (300 mg) were added so that the solution was at a total concentration of >5%. Dye was allowed to diffuse for 2–3 d at 4°C, after

Behavior of intact and denervated leeches.
To study the effects of water level on the form of locomotion produced by intact and denervated leeches, leeches were monitored in a Plexiglas behavior arena measuring 49 × 4.5 × 7 cm (length × width × height) and containing different amounts of water (0–1000 ml). Six leeches were tested on 3–4 d over a 2 week period, both before and after denervation (described above). Before placing a leech in the arena, we manually agitated the leech to promote activity. At each water level tested, we attempted to induce the leech to locomote across the length of the arena at least four times. If a leech did not locomote, it was prodded with a wooden dowel. Occasionally a leech would not locomote at all and would be removed from the chamber. Leeches were removed from the arena before the water level was changed.

The different forms of locomotory behaviors were quantified as a proportion of the total number of locomotory episodes. A locomotory episode was defined as a locomotory movement beginning with front sucker detachment and ending with rear sucker placement (Cacciapote et al., 2000). Therefore, each step of crawling was considered a locomotory episode, whereas searching movements were excluded. A swim movement was considered a swim/crawl step if it resulted in locomotion less than half the length of the arena, with subsequent elongation and body shortening; otherwise, it was classified as "swim." The proportion of behaviors in each category for each water level was calculated indepen-
which the preparations were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS. If Neurobiotin was used for retrograde labeling, tissue was permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 and incubated with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). After rinsing, and regardless of the dye used, tissue was dehydrated through a series of ethanol dilutions and cleared with methyl salicylate. Tissue was mounted in Gurr DePeX mounting medium and imaged with a Zeiss laser scanning confocal microscope using Bio-Rad software (Hercules, CA).

**RESULTS**

To locate the somata of projection neurons that might govern behavioral selection, we labeled subesophageal ganglion neurons of the leech by retrograde transport of rhodamine dextran through the ventral nerve cord. This method indicated the presence of a cluster of cells in the third packet on the dorsal surface of the rostral brain (Fig. 1a, R3). Therefore, we focused on this region in subsequent experiments. In this paper, we describe a bilateral pair of neurons in the posterior subpacket of R3 (R3b), and we refer to each neuron of the pair as R3b1.

R3b1 has a distinctive morphology that can be used to identify the cell in different preparations (Fig. 1b). Its soma lies centrally in the R3b packet and has short anterior-projecting neurites both ipsilateral and contralateral to the cell body. Its axon projects in the lateral connective on the contralateral side. By matching intracellular action potentials to spikes in the connective, we found that the axon projects the entire length of the nerve cord (Fig. 2b). In 10 preparations in which nearby neurons were recorded and labeled with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin, each cell could be distinguished easily by its morphological features. Furthermore, as will be discussed below, this neuron could be uniquely identified by its ability to elicit crawling behavior. Every time current injection into a soma in the R3b packet was able to elicit crawling, that neuron had the morphology of R3b1 (34 preparations). In contrast, no neuron that had a different morphology was able to cause a cell to elicit crawling (34 cells, exhibiting three different morphologies). Therefore, R3b1 is morphologically and functionally distinguishable from other neurons in the R3b packet.

In 22 of 24 isolated nerve cord preparations (Fig. 2a), passing positive current into an R3b1 neuron elicited a crawling-like motor pattern (Fig. 2b), which is consistent with our preliminary report (Esch and Kristan, 2002). The alternating bursts that occur in the dorsal longitudinal motor neuron cell 3 and in the circular motor neuron CV (Fig. 2b) would result in contraction and elongation, respectively, in an intact leech (Eisenhart et al., 2000). The bursts of action potentials in cell 3 produced after current injection into cell R3b1 progressed along the length of the leech, anterior to posterior, as they do in crawling (Esch and Kristan, 2002). Fictive crawling elicited by electrical stimulation of R3b1
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normally continued throughout the period of stimulation, and sometimes it persisted for two or more cycles after termination of the stimulus. We conclude, therefore, that activation of R3b1 can elicit the crawling motor pattern.

In some preparations, depolarizing current injected into R3b1 elicited the swimming motor pattern rather than the crawling pattern (Fig. 2c), confirming preliminary results (Esch and Kristan, 2002). Swimming often lasted only as long as current was injected, as happened with crawling, but it was not uncommon for the swimming motor pattern to outlast electrical stimulation by many swim cycles. Usually, when the swimming motor pattern was elicited, the crawling motor pattern was elicited by previous or subsequent stimulation of the same cell. In 24 preparations, a neuron identified morphologically as R3b1 was stimulated; in 11 of these, R3b1 elicited swimming in some trials and crawling in others. There was only one preparation in which R3b1 stimulation elicited swimming but not crawling, whereas in another 11 preparations only crawling was produced. (In the remaining preparation, a cell with the morphology of R3b1 did not elicit a recognizable motor pattern.) Therefore, R3b1 activation reliably elicits two different locomotory motor patterns: swimming and crawling.

To gain a clearer understanding of what factors determine which behavior is elicited by activating R3b1, we used a semi-intact preparation (Fig. 3a). Because segments 5–20 were intact, we could determine the behavioral response to electrical stimulation of R3b1 simply by observing the animal. In addition, with the semi-intact preparation we could assess the effects of tactile sensory stimulation on R3b1. These experiments suggested that sensory feedback is important for determining whether stimulation of R3b1 elicits swimming or crawling. In low saline levels, current injected into R3b1 elicited the crawling motor pattern (Fig. 3b) \( (n = 5 \text{ leeches}) \). When the saline level was raised, similar stimulation of the same R3b1 elicited swimming (Fig. 3c). Therefore, R3b1 appears to be a state-dependent locomotory neuron; which locomotory behavior it elicits depends on sensory information about the fluid level.

Although electrical stimulation of R3b1 elicited apparently normal swimming and crawling in high and low saline levels, respectively, in intermediate saline levels it elicited a hybrid behavior (Fig. 3d). The leech elongated and contracted with a rhythm typical of a normal crawl, but rather than elongating steadily as in normal crawling, the leech produced swimming undulations while elongating. This hybrid behavior also was produced by freely moving, fully intact leeches in intermediate water levels (see below). Nonetheless, the behavior was more consistent and occurred over a broader range of fluid levels in the semi-intact preparation when the front of the leech was pinned to the substrate (see Materials and Methods). The swim/crawl hybrid was the most common form of locomotory behavior observed in the semi-intact preparation, probably because of the depth of the chamber and the fact that the brain was dissected away from the surrounding tissue (see below).

Direct observation of the semi-intact leech during electrical stimulation of R3b1 revealed that, regardless of which behavior was elicited, the initial motor response was elongation. This can be seen in Figure 3, \( b \) and \( d \), in which elongation always precedes contraction, as well as in Figure 2, \( b \) and \( c \), in which the circular motor neuron CV is activated immediately after R3b1 stimulation. Elongation was followed by contraction when either crawling or the swim/crawl hybrid behavior was elicited, but contraction never occurred first, even if the leech was relatively elongated at the time of stimulation. Elongation also occurred before the onset...
of dorsal-ventral undulation when swimming or the hybrid behavior was elicited by R3b1 stimulation. Furthermore, as illustrated in Figure 2c, CV fired at a high rate throughout the electrical stimulation of R3b1, which implies that elongation actively occurred even after swimming had begun. Finally, weak electrical stimulation of R3b1 (1 nA) often elicited elongation without producing a full swim or crawl motor pattern (data not shown). Therefore, it appears that the initial role of R3b1 in behaviors is to produce elongation.

The form of locomotion produced by intact leeches was affected by water levels similarly to what we observed in semi-intact leeches (Fig. 4a). When the behavioral arena was a moistened Plexiglas sheet, leeches always crawled. When water was present in the arena, leeches always began a locomotory episode by elongating. Even in the shallowest water tested (3 mm), leeches would sometimes make swimming movements after elongating. Leeches were unable to produce swimming movements without both emerging from the water and striking the floor of the arena in water depths of <10 mm, and in these shallower water depths initial swimming movements resulted in a swim/crawl hybrid. In water 10 mm deep, leeches could swim only by turning on their sides; when they did not do this, swim movements still resulted in the hybrid behavior. (Note that the depth of the recording chamber used for semi-intact preparations was 10 mm, and therefore our finding that semi-intact leeches often produced the hybrid behavior is consistent with our observations using intact leeches.) In water levels deeper than 10 mm, whenever swimming undulations were produced they resulted in full swims that usually

Figure 3. Effect of electrical stimulation of R3b1 in semi-intact preparation depends on the saline level in the chamber. Current (3–4 nA) was injected into R3b1 for the times indicated by gray bars at the top of each set of traces in b–d. The spike frequency of R3b1 was determined by counting spikes in a connective recording and grouping them into 2 sec bins. Behaviors were observed directly and also recorded in the activity of the DP nerve in segment 3. The beginning of elongation (E) and contraction (C) were marked with a foot pedal and are indicated below the traces. a, Schematic drawing of semi-intact preparation. b, In low saline levels, current injection elicited crawling. In this example, cell 3 bursts occur in DP 3 during elongation, probably to assist in raising the head. c, After the saline level was increased, electrical stimulation of R3b1 elicited swimming in the same preparation. d, In intermediate saline levels, stimulation of R3b1 elicited a hybrid behavior in which the leech swam (dots below DP trace) during elongation. In c and d, a portion of the trace has been expanded to show details of the swim bursts.
which crawling continued after the stimulation (data not shown). R3b1 after electrical stimulation was terminated, in those cases in front of the leech. This oscillatory pattern was also observed in of R3b1 heralded the onset of the next elongation wave at the to baseline as contraction began, and another increase in spiking during the onset of elongation, the membrane potential returned to tonic depolarization level. This oscillation was present in both isolated and semi-intact preparations and was not consistently present or absent in a single preparation. During the swim/crawl hybrid, the activity of R3b1 was oscillatory, similar to that in crawling, with occasional oscillations in phase with swimming around the elongation depolarization (data not shown). Therefore, R3b1 is phasically active during crawling and mostly tonically active during swimming, and in both cases R3b1 fires most when the leech is elongated.

Natural sensory stimuli that evoked swimming or crawling, such as nudging or lifting the posterior end of the leech, led to depolarization and spiking in R3b1. Stimuli that were too weak to evoke a locomotory response produced a small, short-lived depolarization of R3b1 (Fig. 5c) (n = 4 of 6 stimulations), whereas stimuli sufficient to initiate locomotion produced a larger and longer lasting depolarization of R3b1 (Fig. 5a) (n = 12 of 15 stimulations in eight leeches). Other sensory stimuli that evoked swimming or crawling, such as changing the saline level or shining a light on the animal, also produced a depolarization of R3b1 (data not shown). Furthermore, depolarization of R3b1 accompanied spontaneous swimming and crawling episodes (Fig. 5d). These data strongly suggest that R3b1 contributes to the initiation of swimming and crawling in response to natural stimuli.

The different activity patterns recorded in R3b1 during crawling versus swimming do not appear to be the sole determinant of which behavior is produced. If this were the case, then steady current injection into the cell should always elicit swimming. As described above, this is clearly not the case: crawling often was produced during sustained electrical stimulation of R3b1 (Figs. 2b, 3a).

The firing frequency of R3b1 does not appear to influence the decision to swim or to crawl. Swimming and crawling were both elicited over a broad range of R3b1 firing frequencies. When current injection into R3b1 elicited crawling, the mean firing rate

---

**Figure 4.** Choice of locomotory behavior depends on water level and is altered by severing head brain nerves. The proportion of all locomotory behaviors belonging to each category (crawl, swim/crawl, or swim) is plotted as a function of water level. a. Intact leeches (n = 6 leeches; each tested three times). b. The same leeches as in a, after all nerves to the head brain had been severed (n = 3 leeches; each tested three times). Error bars are SEM.
of R3b1 was 37 ± 2 Hz, and when swimming was elicited the mean firing rate of R3b1 was not significantly different (39 ± 2 Hz). Furthermore, depolarization of R3b1 during an ongoing locomotory behavior never resulted in a switch from swim to crawl or vice versa. Instead, depolarizing current injection during a swim episode increased the intensity of swimming, whereas depolarization during crawling increased the rate of crawling steps (data not shown). These data suggest that factors other than the activity pattern and firing frequency of R3b1 must be involved in the decision to swim or to crawl.

In contrast to sensory stimuli that evoke locomotion, stimuli that halt locomotion or evoke an incompatible behavior hyperpolarize R3b1. In an inactive, semi-intact leech, touching segment 5 elicited a whole-body shortening response, accompanied by a sharp hyperpolarization of R3b1 (Fig. 6a) (n = 14 of 18 stimulations in eight leeches). The same stimulus applied to a swimming leech stopped the swimming, evoked shortening, and brought the membrane potential of R3b1 back to baseline (Fig. 6b). The activity of R3b1 in response to this mechanical stimulation depended on the behavior produced, however. For example, after a second, similar stimulus in Figure 6a, the leech elongated after an initial shortening response. In this case, R3b1 became depolarized and began spiking after the initial hyperpolarization. Therefore, the activity of R3b1 is correlated more strongly with the behavior produced than with the stimulus given.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we describe a paired neuron, R3b1, that elicits both crawling and swimming in the medicinal leech. Which behavior R3b1 activity produces is influenced by sensory input and can be manipulated in the semi-intact preparation by changing fluid levels in the recording chamber. Although there are other leech neurons that participate in multiple behaviors (e.g., 204, Tr1, and SE1) (Brodfuehrer and Friesen, 1986; Kristan et al., 1988; Brodfuehrer et al., 1995a; Shaw and Kristan, 1997), this is the first example of a neuron in the leech that clearly activates two different behaviors in a context-dependent manner.

On the basis of our results, we propose a working hypothesis for the circuit underlying the choice to swim or crawl in the leech (Fig. 7). Stimulation of pressure-sensitive mechanoreceptors (P cells) in the leech’s posterior activates R3b1 neurons (Fig. 5). R3b1 neurons, in turn, activate a network (E), including the...
circular motor neuron CV, that produces elongation (Figs. 2b,c, 3b,d). Elongation activity can either activate swim oscillator interneurons (Brodfuehrer et al., 1995b) to produce the swimming motor pattern (Fig. 3c) or interact with a contraction network (C) to produce a crawling step (Cacciatore et al., 2000) (Fig. 3b). Although the neural architecture underlying these central pattern generators (CPGs) is functionally distinct, they may share neurons whose connections are reconfigured to produce two different motor patterns (Dickinson, 1995). In intact or semi-intact animals, the selection of locomotory form depends on information about the depth of fluid around the leech’s body. This information is carried in part by head brain nerves (Fig. 4b). The nature of this sensory input is unknown, but possible sources include mechanosensory stimulation by the surface of the fluid and/or substrate when the leech is in shallow water, a sensation of buoyancy when the leech is in deep water, or contact with a suitable attachment point by the front sucker. In Figure 7, the sensory input is shown as “shallow water detectors” that bias the output in favor of crawling by activating the crawl CPG and inactivating the swim CPG. The existence of such sensors is supported by evidence that when sensory inputs to the head brain are eliminated, behavior is biased toward swimming (Fig. 4b). There also might be “deep water detectors” that activate the swim CPG and inactivate the crawl CPG. In either case, intermediate fluid levels would reduce the inhibition by these sensors, resulting in net excitation of both CPGs, thereby producing the hybrid swim/crawl motor pattern (Fig. 3d).

Results from the isolated nerve cord, in which all of the water sensors are removed, indicate that this model cannot be complete. Figure 2 shows that intracellular activation of an R3b1 neuron in an isolated nerve cord can produce either swimming or crawling, whereas the connections hypothesized in Figure 7 predict that activating R3b1 with no sensory feedback should always select the swim CPG. Which motor pattern is produced by activating R3b1 in an isolated nerve cord probably depends on the state of “spontaneous activity” in the cord when R3b1 is stimulated (Brodfuehrer et al., 1995b). We predict that there are additional sensory and modulatory pathways onto, and possibly between, the CPGs and that temporal variability in the state of these connections influences which behavior—swimming, crawling, or swim/crawl—is produced.

**Behavioral modules**

Behavioral units or “modules” that can be combined in different ways to produce different behaviors have been proposed in models of spinal organization in vertebrates (Grillner, 1981; Bizzi et al., 2000). Our results indicate that such modules may be important in the construction of movement in invertebrates as well. Regardless of which locomotory behavior R3b1 elicits, the first movement that occurs after depolarization of the cell is elongation. This suggests that elongation is a component of both swimming and crawling and that R3b1 directly activates this behavioral module. Sensory input about the fluid level might contribute to activation of other modules, such that either swimming or crawling is ultimately elicited.

Evidence of modular organization also exists for other invertebrates. For example, a cerebral interneuron in *Aplysia* that is activated during several behaviors always elicits arterial shortening in the neck (Xin et al., 1996). Arterial shortening is a component of several neck-shortening behaviors, including head lift-
ing, turning, and locomotion. Therefore, it appears that modular organization is a common feature of behavioral control in vertebrates and invertebrates alike.

**Hybrid behaviors and multifunctional neurons**
The swim/crawl behavior in the leech is similar to hybrid behaviors between scratching and stepping in turtles (Earhart and Stein, 2000) and between walking and paw shaking in cats (Carter and Smith, 1986a,b), in that one behavior is expressed only during a particular phase of the other. In the leech, swimming is expressed only during the elongation phase of crawling. This implies that there must be some overlap between the circuits controlling these behaviors such that crawling can gate the expression of swimming. A similar sort of gating is produced in the crustacean stomatogastric ganglion by presynaptic inhibition of a modulatory neuron by a gastric mill neuron. This inhibition results in the pyloric rhythm being more active during one phase of the gastric mill rhythm than during the other phase (Bartos and Nusbaum, 1997). In the leech, because elongation is a component of both behaviors, it is a likely point of intersection between the two circuits (Fig. 7). Another point of interaction may be cell 204, a segmentally iterated neuron that readily elicits swimming on activation (Weeks and Kristan, 1978) but which also oscillates during crawling (Kristan et al., 1988).

In many neuroethological studies, a single behavior is studied in isolation. Consequently, neurons involved in producing a behavior often are perceived as being dedicated to that one behavior. Our results, however, add to expanding evidence that many neurons are multifunctional, constituting portions of neural circuits shared by several behaviors (Kristan and Shaw, 1997). In *Tritonia*, swimming and crawling are produced by the same CPG neurons (Popescu and Frost, 2002). Note, however, that crawling in *Tritonia* is mediated by cilia, which generally beat while the animal is swimming, so the animal does not have to switch between the two behaviors. Experiments in *Aplysia* have shown that two circuits can share most elements, with the activity of only a few neurons determining which of the two behaviors is expressed (Jing and Weiss, 2001). Similarly, in the crustacean stomatogastric nervous system (STNS), different levels of activity in a single mechanosensory neuron can elicit different motor patterns from the same pattern-generating network (Combes et al., 1999). Previous work on the STNS has revealed that neural circuits are extremely dynamic: individual neurons can switch from one circuit to another, two circuits can fuse to form a conjoint rhythm, and multiple circuits can combine to form a *de novo* motor pattern (Dickinson, 1995; Marder, 2000). Our work in the leech has indicated that even "command-like" interneurons are not dedicated to a single behavior but that behavioral decisions are made by combinations of such interneurons. Specifically, several neurons whose activation individually causes swimming are also active during shortening (Shaw and Kristan, 1997), and as shown here, a single neuron can trigger two different behaviors. Therefore, even qualitatively different, incompatible behaviors may share decision-making interneurons.

**Sequential decision making**
Swimming and crawling are two forms of locomotion, the goal of which is to move an animal from place to place (Stein et al., 1986). Our results suggest that the choice to locomote is made by R3b1, independently of the decision of what form of locomotion to perform (i.e., swimming or crawling). Because electrical stimulation of R3b1 elicits crawling or swimming and because stimuli that halt locomotion hyperpolarize R3b1, it appears that R3b1 is a command-like neuron for locomotion. Because swimming, crawling, or the hybrid can be produced by stimulation of R3b1 under different environmental conditions, however, sensory information must influence which motor pattern is activated by R3b1, so a behavior appropriate for the present conditions is produced. Therefore, the decision to crawl or swim must be distributed over multiple neurons.

On the basis of our results, we propose a neural structure in which a behavioral task is selected before the form of task is selected. We envision a sequential process, in which the choice is narrowed at each step until finally a specific form of behavior is selected and produced.

Other animals also appear to make decisions sequentially. Most notably, it has been demonstrated that cockroaches, like leeches, choose to locomote independently of choosing what form of locomotion to produce. Specifically, a single neuron can elicit either flying or walking depending on whether the legs are in contact with the ground (Ritzmann et al., 1980). Our preparation has the advantage of being able to switch repeatedly among behaviors simply by changing the water levels (rather than removing the sensory structures, as in the previous study). Our results, therefore, considerably strengthen the hypothesis that using sensory information to guide output of locomotory decision centers is a common feature of behavioral organization.

Few other studies have considered decision making a multistep process. Instead, studies have focused on a single level of choice. In one model system for determining the neural basis of behavioral choice, monkeys are trained to make a saccade in different directions in response to a visual stimulus (Shadlen and Newome, 1996; Platt and Glimcher, 1999; Gold and Shadlen, 2000; Schall, 2000, 2001; Glimcher, 2001). In these experiments, the monkey’s goal is to receive a reward. The task is defined by the experimenter, for example, to make a saccade indicating the predominant direction of movement of dots. The decision being studied, therefore, is what form of the task to perform—a saccade to the left or to the right—based on the monkey’s perception of the visual stimulus. Presumably, the monkey first must decide that it will perform the expected task, but nothing yet is known about how and where that decision is made.

From previous and current results, it appears that leeches decide how to respond to stimuli sequentially. At the first stage, it decides to do something (Shaw and Kristan, 1997). Next, it decides to locomote (present study). At the final premotor stage, the leech decides whether to swim or to crawl (Weeks and Kristan, 1978). Whether such a sequential mechanism is present in mammalian brains remains to be seen, but it seems unlikely that more complex decisions are made with simpler neural interactions.

**REFERENCES**
Cacciatore TW, Rozensteyn R, Kristan Jr WB (2000) Kinematics and


